



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,512	07/29/2003	Subra Suresh	06618/604002/CIT-3186C	7896
20985	7590 07/14/2004		EXAMINER  LE, QUE TAN	
	HARDSON, PC			
12390 EL CAMINO REAL SAN DIEGO, CA 92130-2081			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2878	
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004	p=°*

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\gamma\gamma\gamma$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/630,512	SURESH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Que T. Le	2878				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thin eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON that the cause the application to become Al mailing date of this communication, even if	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	10 June 2004.					
<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for all	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice un	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 29 July 2003 is/are		cted to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the o						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
,						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>	ments have been received.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the						
application from the International B		· ·				
* See the attached detailed Office action for		t received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/630,512

Art Unit: 2878

This is in response to Applicants' amendment filed June 10, 2004.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re-Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-9 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 7, 14, 17, 18, 23 and 26 of U.S. Patent No. 6,600,565. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 1, of the present application, is similar to claim 7, of the above identified U.S. Patent with similar intended scope, while claims 2-6, of the present application, would have been inherently include given the method steps, of claims 14, 17, 18, 23 and 26, of the U.S. Patent mentioned above. The inclusion of a laser would have been a mere matter of obvious design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art. The further citation regarding the performances/operation of the first mechanism and the processing module would have been inherently included.

Claims 1-9 are also rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,031,611. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably Application/Control Number: 10/630,512

Art Unit: 2878

distinct from each other because the claimed invention, claims 1-6, of the present application is also similar to the claimed invention, claims 1-8, of the U.S. Patent 6,031,611 with similar intended scope. The further citation of performances by the processing module of claim 3 would have been inherently include in the signal processor of the U.S. Patent mentioned above, and the inclusion of the laser of claim 3 would have been obvious as a design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art. The further citation regarding the performances/operation of the first mechanism and the processing module would have been inherently included.

Applicant's arguments filed June 10, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because the terminal disclaimer has not been received.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2878

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Que T. Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-2438.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Porta, can be reached on (571) 272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Que T. Le

**Primary Examiner**